

Application No. 10/525,264	Applicant(s) BOYD ET AL.	
Examiner Karen Cheng	Art Unit 1626	

Status of Application: *pending*

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:30 AM

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Part I.

Claims discussed:
8, 12, 14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Karen Cheng
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed that claim 1 still contained subject matter that would read on prior art. Applicant agreed to cancel out R7 is C1-6 alkyl and the corresponding species from claim 12 to overcome the remaining 103 rejection. Additionally, claim 8 was amended to depend on claim 1 rather than cancelled claim 7. Applicant agreed to cancel Claim 14 as it is a duplicate of Claim 13. Minor typographical errors found in claim 9 and 12 were also amended..